



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/467,076	12/20/1999	JOSE CIBELLI	000270-088	1896	
7	7590 01/12/2006			EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING, L.L.P. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			WOITACH, JOSEPH T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		1632		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner		09/467 076	CIBELLIETAL				
	Notice of Abandonment						
		Jacob T Misitash	1000				
This application is abandoned in view of: 1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 21 April 2004. (a) ☑ A reply was received on 02 August 2005 (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated	The MAILING DATE of this communication a						
1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 21 April 2004. (a) ☑ A reply was received on 02 Abusts 2005 (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated, which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total exension of time of g morth(s)) which expired on 21 October 2004. (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona file attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☐ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowability (PTO-85). (b) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
 (a) ≦ A reply was received on 02 August 2005 (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated, which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of g morth(s)) which expired on 21 Cercber 2004. (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. Sea 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☐ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowandshity (PTO-37). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signe	This application is abandoned in view of:						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.134. (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ Cr. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ Cr. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the altorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or CFR 1	(a) A reply was received on 02 August 2005 (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the						
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 GFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 GFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date but his is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 GFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 GFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee required by 37 GFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 GFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 GFR 1.134(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of	(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection						
final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by App	application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dater), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by Applicants were found non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formal							
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a)							
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c)	(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☒ The reason(s) below: It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by Applicants were found non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formally abandoned for failure to repsond to the non-final office action. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to	(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
3. □ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) □ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) □ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. □ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. □ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. □ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by Applicants were found non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formally abandoned for failure to repsond to the non-final office action. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by Applicants were found non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formally abandoned for failure to repsond to the non-final office action. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Circles	(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
after the expiration of the period for repty. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by Applicants were found non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formally abandoned for failure to repsond to the non-final office action. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to UIS. Patent and Trademark Office							
 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by Applicants were found non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formally abandoned for failure to repsond to the non-final office action. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is						
the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by Applicants were found non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formally abandoned for failure to repsond to the non-final office action. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.							
1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by Applicants were found non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formally abandoned for failure to repsond to the non-final office action. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by Applicants were found non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formally abandoned for failure to repsond to the non-final office action. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
It is noted that a non-final office action was mailed April 24, 2004, and two replies made by Applicants were found non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formally abandoned for failure to repsond to the non-final office action. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the application is formally abandoned for failure to repsond to the non-final office action. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	7. ☑ The reason(s) below:						
minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	non-compliant (see actions mailed 11/29/2004 and 6/22/2005). while the claim listings provided in 8/25, 2005 appear to be in a proper format, the allowable extension of time for a proper response has been exceeded, and the						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	minimize any negative effects on patent term.						
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 12212005				